

Présentation bibliographique Mensuelle aux Cordeliers

Article paru en 2006 dans la Section Biometrics de
l'American Statistical Association

CONTINUOUS TIME SURVIVAL IN LATENT VARIABLE MODELS

T. Asparouhov, K. Masyn, B. Muthen

présenté par
Simon Bussy

14 decembre 2015

Introduction

- Context : Comparison of different models on Survival Analysis.

Introduction

- Context : Comparison of different models on Survival Analysis.
- Models : Finite mixtures of Cox regression with and without class-specific baseline hazards, multilevel Cox regression, and multilevel frailty models.

Introduction

- Context : Comparison of different models on Survival Analysis.
- Models : Finite mixtures of Cox regression with and without class-specific baseline hazards, multilevel Cox regression, and multilevel frailty models.
- Joint modeling of survival time variables and continuous and categorical observed and latent variables.

Introduction

- Context : Comparison of different models on Survival Analysis.
- Models : Finite mixtures of Cox regression with and without class-specific baseline hazards, multilevel Cox regression, and multilevel frailty models.
- Joint modeling of survival time variables and continuous and categorical observed and latent variables.
- Simulation study : parameters estimation.

Introduction

- Context : Comparison of different models on Survival Analysis.
- Models : Finite mixtures of Cox regression with and without class-specific baseline hazards, multilevel Cox regression, and multilevel frailty models.
- Joint modeling of survival time variables and continuous and categorical observed and latent variables.
- Simulation study : parameters estimation.
- Mplus Software (not free !) vs. SAS.

- 1 The PH Model
 - Parametric PH Model
 - Cox Regression Model

- 2 The General Latent Variable Model
 - Overview
 - Frailty Models

- 3 Mixture Survival Modeling

- 4 Conclusion

Notations and Definitions

- Survival variable $T = \min\{T_0, C\}$

Notations and Definitions

- Survival variable $T = \min\{T_0, C\}$
- Censoring indicator $\delta = \mathbb{1}_{\{T > C\}}$

Notations and Definitions

- Survival variable $T = \min\{T_0, C\}$
- Censoring indicator $\delta = \mathbb{1}_{\{T > C\}}$
- Predictor variables X

Notations and Definitions

- Survival variable $T = \min\{T_0, C\}$
- Censoring indicator $\delta = \mathbb{1}_{\{T > C\}}$
- Predictor variables X
- Lifetime distribution $F(t) = \mathbb{P}[T \leq t] = 1 - S(t)$,
 $f(t) = \frac{d}{dt}F(t)$

Notations and Definitions

- Survival variable $T = \min\{T_0, C\}$
- Censoring indicator $\delta = \mathbb{1}_{\{T > C\}}$
- Predictor variables X
- Lifetime distribution $F(t) = \mathbb{P}[T \leq t] = 1 - S(t)$,
 $f(t) = \frac{d}{dt}F(t)$
- Survival function $S(t) = \mathbb{P}[T > t]$

Notations and Definitions

- Survival variable $T = \min\{T_0, C\}$
- Censoring indicator $\delta = \mathbb{1}_{\{T > C\}}$
- Predictor variables X
- Lifetime distribution $F(t) = \mathbb{P}[T \leq t] = 1 - S(t)$,
 $f(t) = \frac{d}{dt}F(t)$
- Survival function $S(t) = \mathbb{P}[T > t]$
- Hazard function : the event rate at time t conditional on survival until time t or later

$$\text{i.e. } h(t) = \lim_{dt \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}[t \leq T < t+dt]}{S(t)dt} = \frac{f(t)}{S(t)}$$

The Proportional Hazard Model

- Hypothesis : $h(t) = \lambda(t)\exp(\beta X)$ with λ the baseline hazard function.

The Proportional Hazard Model

- Hypothesis : $h(t) = \lambda(t)\exp(\beta X)$ with λ the baseline hazard function.
- \Rightarrow proportional risks :

$$\forall t, \frac{h_j(t)}{h_i(t)} = \frac{\lambda(t)\exp(\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k \times 1 + \dots + \beta_d X_d)}{\lambda(t)\exp(\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k \times 0 + \dots + \beta_d X_d)} = \exp(\beta_k)$$

The Proportional Hazard Model

- Hypothesis : $h(t) = \lambda(t)\exp(\beta X)$ with λ the baseline hazard function.

- \Rightarrow proportional risks :

$$\forall t, \frac{h_i(t)}{h_j(t)} = \frac{\lambda(t)\exp(\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k \times 1 + \dots + \beta_d X_d)}{\lambda(t)\exp(\beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k \times 0 + \dots + \beta_d X_d)} = \exp(\beta_k)$$

- Parametric vs. non-parametric model for the baseline function λ .



Parametric PH Model

$$\bullet \lambda(t) = \begin{cases} h_1 & \text{if } 0 < t \leq t_1 \\ h_2 & \text{if } t_1 < t \leq t_2 \\ \dots & \\ h_Q & \text{if } t_{Q-1} < t \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

with t_i and Q specified prior to the model estimation.



Parametric PH Model

- $$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} h_1 & \text{if } 0 < t \leq t_1 \\ h_2 & \text{if } t_1 < t \leq t_2 \\ \dots & \\ h_Q & \text{if } t_{Q-1} < t \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

with t_i and Q specified prior to the model estimation.

- Treat h_i as regular parameters, for instance with the constraints $h_i = \alpha s(\alpha h(i - 0.5))^{s-1}$



Parametric PH Model

- $$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} h_1 & \text{if } 0 < t \leq t_1 \\ h_2 & \text{if } t_1 < t \leq t_2 \\ \dots & \\ h_Q & \text{if } t_{Q-1} < t \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

with t_i and Q specified prior to the model estimation.

- Treat h_i as regular parameters, for instance with the constraints $h_i = \alpha s(\alpha h(i - 0.5))^{s-1}$
- Treat h_i as nuisance parameters directly estimated with ML method.

Cox Regression Model

- Non-parametric hypothesis for the baseline hazard function.

Cox Regression Model

- Non-parametric hypothesis for the baseline hazard function.
- β estimation using the partial LL.



Cox Regression Model

- Non-parametric hypothesis for the baseline hazard function.
- β estimation using the partial LL.
- Likelihood function that holds for both models :

$$L(T) = (\lambda(T)\exp(\beta X))^{1-\delta} S(T)$$

The General Latent Variable Model

- T_{rij} : r -th observed time-to-event variable for individual i in cluster j , y_{pij} : p -th observed dependent variable.

The General Latent Variable Model

- T_{rij} : r -th observed time-to-event variable for individual i in cluster j , y_{pij} : p -th observed dependent variable.
- $[y_{pij} = k | C_{ij} = c] \Leftrightarrow \tau_{ck} < y_{pij}^* < \tau_{ck+1}$

The General Latent Variable Model

- T_{rij} : r -th observed time-to-event variable for individual i in cluster j , y_{pij} : p -th observed dependent variable.
- $[y_{pij} = k | C_{ij} = c] \Leftrightarrow \tau_{ck} < y_{pij}^* < \tau_{ck+1}$
- Multiple hazard function
 $[h_{rij}(t) | C_{ij} = c] = \lambda_{rc}(t) \exp(\rho_{rcj} + \gamma_{rcj} \mathbf{x}_{ij} + \kappa_{rcj} \eta_{ij})$

The General Latent Variable Model

- T_{rij} : r -th observed time-to-event variable for individual i in cluster j , y_{pij} : p -th observed dependent variable.
- $[y_{pij} = k | C_{ij} = c] \Leftrightarrow \tau_{ck} < y_{pij}^* < \tau_{ck+1}$
- Multiple hazard function
 $[h_{rij}(t) | C_{ij} = c] = \lambda_{rc}(t) \exp(\rho_{rcj} + \gamma_{rcj} x_{ij} + \kappa_{rcj} \eta_{ij})$
- Estimator performs well on simple models : see Table 3 for application.

Frailty Models

- Association between time-to-event variables (or dependent).

Frailty Models

- Association between time-to-event variables (or dependent).
- For example :

$$h_{1i}(t) = \xi_i \lambda_1(t)$$

$$h_{2i}(t) = \xi_i \lambda_2(t)$$

with $\xi_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \Gamma(1, \gamma)$

Frailty Models

- Association between time-to-event variables (or dependent).
- For example :

$$h_{1i}(t) = \xi_i \lambda_1(t)$$

$$h_{2i}(t) = \xi_i \lambda_2(t)$$

$$\text{with } \xi_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \Gamma(1, \gamma)$$

- Variance γ models the association between T_1 and T_2 .

Frailty Models

- Association between time-to-event variables (or dependent).
- For example :

$$h_{1i}(t) = \xi_i \lambda_1(t)$$

$$h_{2i}(t) = \xi_i \lambda_2(t)$$

$$\text{with } \xi_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \Gamma(1, \gamma)$$

- Variance γ models the association between T_1 and T_2 .
- For **very simple examples**, parametric methods do slightly better than non-parametric ones, **only for small sample size. And t_i known a priori !**

Mixture Survival Modeling

- 2 class model, with $\lambda_1(t)$ estimated as a non-parametric step function and $\lambda_2(t) = \alpha\lambda_1(t)$.

Mixture Survival Modeling

- 2 class model, with $\lambda_1(t)$ estimated as a non-parametric step function and $\lambda_2(t) = \alpha\lambda_1(t)$.
- α : effect of the class.

Mixture Survival Modeling

- 2 class model, with $\lambda_1(t)$ estimated as a non-parametric step function and $\lambda_2(t) = \alpha\lambda_1(t)$.
- α : effect of the class.
- In Mplus, both $\lambda_1(t)$ and $\lambda_2(t)$ are estimated as a non-parametric step function.

Mixture Survival Modeling

- 2 class model, with $\lambda_1(t)$ estimated as a non-parametric step function and $\lambda_2(t) = \alpha\lambda_1(t)$.
- α : effect of the class.
- In Mplus, both $\lambda_1(t)$ and $\lambda_2(t)$ are estimated as a non-parametric step function.
- Again on very simple simulations ($d = 1$), Mplus method seems to better estimate β .

Conclusion

- A lot of models briefly described, no big changes.

Conclusion

- A lot of models briefly described, no big changes.
- Models presented for discrete time analysis.

Conclusion

- A lot of models briefly described, no big changes.
- Models presented for discrete time analysis.
- Comparison with Mplus and SAS algos (with and without ties).